

# DIRECT APPROACH TO APPROXIMATE CONSERVATION LAWS

MATTEO GORGONE  
(joint work with G. Inferrera)

University of Messina, Department MIFT  
email: [mgorgone@unime.it](mailto:mgorgone@unime.it)



WASCOM 2021  
XXI INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON WAVES AND STABILITY IN CONTINUOUS MEDIA  
*Catania, June 06–10, 2022*

# General framework of conservations laws

## Lie groups methods to construct conservation laws:

- Variational systems  $\rightarrow$  Noether's theorem [Noether, 1918];
- Extension of Noether's theorem with generalized symmetries [Boyer, 1967];
- Extension of Noether's theorem with differential operators [Vinogradov, 1984];
- Formal Lagrangian and self-adjointness [Ibragimov, 2007];
- Partial Lagrangians [Kara, Mahomed, 2006];
- ...

## Not via Lie symmetries...

In general, for DEs not admitting a variational principle, conservation laws may be found through a direct approach [Anco, Bluman, 2002].

## Remark

The approaches for the derivation of conservation laws for both variational and non-variational problems need some adaptations when dealing with differential equations involving terms of different orders of magnitude.

## Notation

Consider a system of differential equations of order  $r$  involving a small parameter  $\varepsilon \ll 1$

$$\Delta(x, u^{(r)}; \varepsilon) = 0,$$

where:

- $\Delta \equiv (\Delta^1, \dots, \Delta^q)$  sufficiently smooth functions;
- $x \equiv (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  the independent variables;
- $u^{(0)} = u \equiv (u_1, \dots, u_m) \in U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  the dependent variables;
- $u^{(r)} = \left\{ \frac{\partial^{|J|} u_\alpha}{\partial x_1^{j_1} \dots \partial x_n^{j_n}} : \alpha = 1, \dots, m, |J| = 0, \dots, r \right\} \in U^{(r)} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ , with  $N = m \binom{n+r}{r}$ , the derivatives of  $u$  w.r.t.  $x$  up to the order  $r$ , and  $|J| = j_1 + \dots + j_n$ .

## Definition

An **approximate conservation law** of order  $r$ , compatible with the system, is a divergence expression

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i \left( \Phi^i \left( x, u^{(r-1)}; \varepsilon \right) \right) = 0,$$

holding for all solutions of the system, where  $\Phi^i \left( x, u^{(r-1)}; \varepsilon \right)$  are the **fluxes** of the conservation law, and  $D_i$  is the **Lie derivative**.

## Perturbed variational problems

Approximate conservation laws may be found by means of the approximate Noether's theorem<sup>a</sup>, which establishes a correspondence between the approximate Lie symmetries<sup>b</sup> of the perturbed action integral and approximate conservation laws through an explicit formula involving the approximate infinitesimals and the perturbed Lagrangian itself.

<sup>a</sup>Gorgone, Oliveri, Mathematics, 2021

<sup>b</sup>Di Salvo, Gorgone, Oliveri, Nonlin. Dyn., 2018

## Perturbed non-variational problems: direct method<sup>1</sup>

Given a system of DEs,

$$\Delta \left( \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}^{(r)}; \varepsilon \right) = 0,$$

we want to determine sets of non-singular (when evaluated on the solutions of the system) **multipliers**  $\Lambda^\nu \left( \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}^{(r)}; \varepsilon \right)$  ( $\nu = 1, \dots, q$ ) provided that

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^q \left( \Lambda^\nu \left( \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}^{(r)}; \varepsilon \right) \Delta^\nu \left( \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}^{(r)}; \varepsilon \right) \right) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n D_i \left( \Phi^i \left( \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}^{(r-1)}; \varepsilon \right) \right) = O(\varepsilon^{p+1})$$

is an **approximate divergence expression** holding for all solutions of the system.

## Key aspects of the direct approach

- Any divergence expression is annihilated by the Euler operators associated to all dependent variables;
- All the sets of multipliers can be found algorithmically by solving a linear system of determining equations.

<sup>1</sup>Bluman, Anco, Eur. J. Appl. Math., 2002

# Approaches to approximate conservation laws

## First method: without expansion of dependent variables

Given a sistem of differential equations involving a small parameter

$$\Delta \left( x, u^{(r)}; \varepsilon \right) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^p \varepsilon^k \tilde{\Delta}_{(k)} \left( x, u^{(r)} \right) = O(\varepsilon^{p+1}),$$

an expansion of the **Lagrange multipliers** is considered,

$$\Lambda^\nu \left( x, u^{(r)}; \varepsilon \right) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^p \varepsilon^k \tilde{\Lambda}_{(k)}^\nu \left( x, u^{(r)} \right), \quad \nu = 1, \dots, q,$$

and the following **Euler operator** in the algorithmic procedure is used:

$$E_{u_\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_\alpha} - \sum_{i=1}^n D_i \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{\alpha,i}} \right) + \dots + (-1)^s \sum_{i_1=1}^n \dots \sum_{i_s=i_{s-1}}^n D_{i_1} \dots D_{i_s} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{\alpha,i_1 \dots i_s}} \right), \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, m.$$

## Remark

This approach moves within the same framework of BGI<sup>2</sup> method for approximate symmetries.

Baikov, Gazizov, Ibragimov, Mat. Sb., 1988

# Approaches to approximate conservation laws

## Second method: with expansion of dependent variables

Given a sistem of differential equations involving a small parameter

$$\Delta(x, u^{(r)}; \varepsilon) = 0, \quad (*)$$

the dependent variables are expanded in a perturbation series as done in usual perturbation analysis:

$$u^{(r)}(x; \varepsilon) = \sum_{k=0}^p \varepsilon^k u_{(k)}^{(r)}(x) + O(\varepsilon^{p+1}),$$

with  $u_{(k)}^{(r)} \equiv (u_{(k)1}^{(r)}, \dots, u_{(k)N}^{(r)})$ .

By separating at each order of approximation, we have a coupled system:

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{(k)}(x, u_{(0)}^{(r)}, \dots, u_{(k)}^{(r)}) = 0, \quad k = 0, \dots, p. \quad (**)$$

# Approaches to approximate conservation laws

## ... Multipliers and Euler operators

The **approximate multipliers** of system (\*) are defined as the **exact multipliers**

$$\Lambda^i \left( x, u_{(0)}^{(r)}, \dots, u_{(k)}^{(r)} \right), \quad i, k = 0, \dots, p$$

of system (\*\*) obtained from perturbations.

The following **Euler operator** is considered:

$$E_{u_{(k)\alpha}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{(k)\alpha}} - \sum_{i=1}^n D_i \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{(k)\alpha, i}} \right) + \dots + (-1)^s \sum_{i_1=1}^n \dots \sum_{i_s=i_{s-1}}^n D_{i_1} \dots D_{i_s} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{(k)\alpha, i_1 \dots i_s}} \right),$$

with  $k = 0, \dots, p$  and  $\alpha = 1, \dots, m$ .

## Remark

This approach moves within the same framework of FS<sup>3</sup> method for approximate symmetries.

---

Fushchich and Shtelen, J. Phys. A., 1989



## A consistent approach

Consider a system of DEs containing a small term  $\varepsilon$ :

$$\Delta \left( \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}^{(r)}; \varepsilon \right) = 0.$$

- Assume the Lagrange multipliers to be dependent on the small parameter  $\varepsilon$ ,

$$\Lambda^\nu = \Lambda^\nu \left( \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}^{(r)}; \varepsilon \right), \quad \nu = 1, \dots, q.$$

## A consistent approach

Consider a system of DEs containing a small term  $\varepsilon$ :

$$\Delta \left( x, u^{(r)}; \varepsilon \right) = 0.$$

- Assume the Lagrange multipliers to be dependent on the small parameter  $\varepsilon$ ,

$$\Lambda^\nu = \Lambda^\nu \left( x, u^{(r)}; \varepsilon \right), \quad \nu = 1, \dots, q.$$

- Expand the dependent variables in power series of the small parameter,

$$u^{(r)}(x; \varepsilon) = \sum_{k=0}^p \varepsilon^k u_{(k)}^{(r)}(x) + O(\varepsilon^{p+1}),$$

and the system write as

$$\Delta \equiv \sum_{k=0}^p \varepsilon^k \tilde{\Delta}_{(k)} \left( x, u_{(0)}^{(r)}, \dots, u_{(k)}^{(r)} \right) = O(\varepsilon^{p+1}).$$

## A consistent approach

Consider a system of DEs containing a small term  $\varepsilon$ :

$$\Delta \left( \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}^{(r)}; \varepsilon \right) = 0.$$

- Assume the Lagrange multipliers to be dependent on the small parameter  $\varepsilon$ ,

$$\Lambda^\nu = \Lambda^\nu \left( \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}^{(r)}; \varepsilon \right), \quad \nu = 1, \dots, q.$$

- Expand the dependent variables in power series of the small parameter,

$$\mathbf{u}^{(r)}(\mathbf{x}; \varepsilon) = \sum_{k=0}^p \varepsilon^k \mathbf{u}_{(k)}^{(r)}(\mathbf{x}) + O(\varepsilon^{p+1}),$$

and the system write as

$$\Delta \equiv \sum_{k=0}^p \varepsilon^k \tilde{\Delta}_{(k)} \left( \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_{(0)}^{(r)}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{(k)}^{(r)} \right) = O(\varepsilon^{p+1}).$$

- Consider a consistent expansion of the Lagrange multipliers.

## A consistent approach

Consider a system of DEs containing a small term  $\varepsilon$ :

$$\Delta \left( \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}^{(r)}; \varepsilon \right) = 0.$$

- Assume the Lagrange multipliers to be dependent on the small parameter  $\varepsilon$ ,

$$\Lambda^\nu = \Lambda^\nu \left( \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}^{(r)}; \varepsilon \right), \quad \nu = 1, \dots, q.$$

- Expand the dependent variables in power series of the small parameter,

$$\mathbf{u}^{(r)}(\mathbf{x}; \varepsilon) = \sum_{k=0}^p \varepsilon^k \mathbf{u}_{(k)}^{(r)}(\mathbf{x}) + O(\varepsilon^{p+1}),$$

and the system write as

$$\Delta \equiv \sum_{k=0}^p \varepsilon^k \tilde{\Delta}_{(k)} \left( \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_{(0)}^{(r)}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{(k)}^{(r)} \right) = O(\varepsilon^{p+1}).$$

- Consider a consistent expansion of the Lagrange multipliers.
- Use a consistent definition of approximate Euler operators.

## Expansions of multipliers

$$\Lambda^\nu \left( x, u^{(r)}; \varepsilon \right) = \sum_{k=0}^p \varepsilon^k \tilde{\Lambda}_{(k)}^\nu \left( x, u_{(0)}^{(r)}, \dots, u_{(k)}^{(r)} \right) + O(\varepsilon^{p+1}), \quad \nu = 1, \dots, q$$

where  $\tilde{\Lambda}_{(k)}^\nu$  ( $k > 0$ ) are suitable polynomials in  $u_{(1)}^{(r)}, \dots, u_{(k)}^{(r)}$  with coefficients given by  $\Lambda_{(k)}^\nu \left( x, u_{(0)}^{(r)} \right)$  ( $k = 0, \dots, p$ ) and their derivatives with respect to  $u_{(0)}^{(r)}$ .

## Expansions of multipliers

$$\Lambda^\nu \left( x, u^{(r)}; \varepsilon \right) = \sum_{k=0}^p \varepsilon^k \tilde{\Lambda}_{(k)}^\nu \left( x, u_{(0)}^{(r)}, \dots, u_{(k)}^{(r)} \right) + O(\varepsilon^{p+1}), \quad \nu = 1, \dots, q$$

where  $\tilde{\Lambda}_{(k)}^\nu$  ( $k > 0$ ) are suitable polynomials in  $u_{(1)}^{(r)}, \dots, u_{(k)}^{(r)}$  with coefficients given by  $\Lambda_{(k)}^\nu \left( x, u_{(0)}^{(r)} \right)$  ( $k = 0, \dots, p$ ) and their derivatives with respect to  $u_{(0)}^{(r)}$ .

In fact:

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_{(0)}^\nu = \Lambda_{(0)}^\nu \left( x, u_{(0)}^{(r)} \right) = \Lambda^\nu \left( x, u_{(0)}^{(r)}; 0 \right), \quad \tilde{\Lambda}_{(k+1)}^\nu = \frac{1}{k+1} \mathcal{R}[\tilde{\Lambda}_{(k)}^\nu],$$

$\mathcal{R}$  being a *linear* recursion operator satisfying *product rule* of derivatives defined as

$$\mathcal{R} \left[ \frac{\partial^{|\tau|} \Lambda_{(k)}^\nu \left( x, u_{(0)}^{(r)} \right)}{\partial u_{(0)1}^{(r)\tau_1} \dots \partial u_{(0)N}^{(r)\tau_N}} \right] = \frac{\partial^{|\tau|} \Lambda_{(k+1)}^\nu \left( x, u_{(0)}^{(r)} \right)}{\partial u_{(0)1}^{(r)\tau_1} \dots \partial u_{(0)N}^{(r)\tau_N}} + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{(0)i}^{(r)}} \left( \frac{\partial^{|\tau|} \Lambda_{(k)}^\nu \left( x, u_{(0)}^{(r)} \right)}{\partial u_{(0)1}^{(r)\tau_1} \dots \partial u_{(0)N}^{(r)\tau_N}} \right) u_{(1)i}^{(r)},$$

$$\mathcal{R}[u_{(k)j}^{(r)}] = (k+1)u_{(k+1)j}^{(r)},$$

where  $k \geq 0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, N$ ,  $|\tau| = \tau_1 + \dots + \tau_N$ .

## Approximate conservation laws

Given a system

$$\Delta \left( \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}^{(r)}; \varepsilon \right) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^p \varepsilon^k \tilde{\Delta}_{(k)} \left( \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_{(0)}^{(r)}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{(k)}^{(r)} \right) = O(\varepsilon^{p+1}),$$

an **approximate conservation law** of order  $r$ , compatible with the system, is an approximate divergence expression

$$\sum_{k=0}^p \varepsilon^k \left( \sum_{i=1}^n D_i \left( \tilde{\Phi}_{(k)}^i \left( \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_{(0)}^{(r-1)}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{(k)}^{(r-1)} \right) \right) \right) = O(\varepsilon^{p+1}),$$

holding for all solutions of the system, where

$$\sum_{k=0}^p \varepsilon^k \tilde{\Phi}_{(k)}^i \left( \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_{(0)}^{(r-1)}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{(k)}^{(r-1)} \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

are the expansions at order  $p$  of the **fluxes**  $\Phi^i \left( \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}^{(r-1)}; \varepsilon \right)$  of the conservation law, and

$$D_i = \frac{D}{Dx_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{k=0}^p \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \left( u_{(k)\alpha,i} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{(k)\alpha}} + \sum_{j=1}^n u_{(k)\alpha,ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{(k)\alpha,j}} + \dots \right)$$

is the **approximate Lie derivative**, with  $u_{(k)\alpha,i} = \frac{\partial u_{(k)\alpha}}{\partial x_i}$ ,  $u_{(k)\alpha,ij} = \frac{\partial^2 u_{(k)\alpha}}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$ ,  $\dots$

## Approximate multipliers

Functions  $\Lambda^\nu(x, u^{(s)}; \varepsilon)$  ( $\nu = 1, \dots, q$ ) are **approximate multipliers** depending on  $s$ -th order derivatives if, after expanding in perturbation series of  $\varepsilon$  up to the order  $p$ , i.e.,

$$\Lambda^\nu(x, u^{(s)}; \varepsilon) = \sum_{k=0}^p \varepsilon^k \tilde{\Lambda}_{(k)}^\nu(x, u_{(0)}^{(s)}, \dots, u_{(k)}^{(s)}) + O(\varepsilon^{p+1}), \quad \nu = 1, \dots, q,$$

the relation

$$\sum_{k=0}^p \varepsilon^k \left( \sum_{\ell=0}^k \sum_{\nu=1}^q \left( \tilde{\Lambda}_{(\ell)}^\nu \tilde{\Delta}_{(k-\ell)}^\nu \right) - \sum_{i=1}^n D_i \tilde{\Phi}_{(k)}^i \right) = O(\varepsilon^{p+1})$$

holds for arbitrary  $u_{(\ell)}^{(s)}(x)$  and some suitable functions  $\tilde{\Phi}_{(k)}^i(x, u_{(0)}^{(s-1)}, \dots, u_{(k)}^{(s-1)})$ .

Then, if  $\Lambda^\nu(x, u^{(s)}; \varepsilon)$  are non-singular, an approximate conservation law can be recovered:

$$\sum_{k=0}^p \varepsilon^k \left( \sum_{\ell=0}^k \sum_{\nu=1}^q \left( \tilde{\Lambda}_{(\ell)}^\nu \tilde{\Delta}_{(k-\ell)}^\nu \right) \right) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^p \varepsilon^k \left( \sum_{i=1}^n D_i \tilde{\Phi}_{(k)}^i \right) = O(\varepsilon^{p+1}).$$



## Theorem

The non-singular approximate multipliers

$$\Lambda^\nu \left( x, u^{(r)}; \varepsilon \right), \quad \nu = 1, \dots, q$$

yield an approximate conservation law iff the set of relations

$$E_{u_{(0)\alpha}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^p \varepsilon^k \left( \sum_{\ell=0}^k \sum_{\nu=1}^q \left( \tilde{\Lambda}_{(\ell)}^\nu \tilde{\Delta}_{(k-\ell)}^\nu \right) \right) \right) = O(\varepsilon^{p+1}), \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, m$$

holds for arbitrary  $u_{(k)}^{(r)}(x)$  ( $k = 0, \dots, p$ ), where

$$E_{u_{(0)\alpha}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{(0)\alpha}} - \sum_{i=1}^n D_i \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{(0)\alpha, i}} \right) + \dots + (-1)^r \sum_{i_1=1}^n \dots \sum_{i_r=i_{r-1}}^n D_{i_1} \dots D_{i_r} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{(0)\alpha, i_1 \dots i_r}} \right)$$

are the **approximate Euler operators**.

## Approximate direct method with the consistent approach: algorithm

- Expand the dependent variables in power series of  $\varepsilon$ :  $u(x; \varepsilon) = \sum_{k=0}^p \varepsilon^k u_{(k)}(x) + O(\varepsilon^{p+1})$ ;
- Expand in perturbation series of  $\varepsilon$  the multipliers, so obtaining:

$$\Lambda^\nu(x, u^{(r)}; \varepsilon) = \sum_{k=0}^p \varepsilon^k \tilde{\Lambda}_{(k)}^\nu(x, u_{(0)}^{(r)}, \dots, u_{(k)}^{(r)}) + O(\varepsilon^{p+1}), \quad \nu = 1, \dots, q;$$

- Apply the approximate Euler operators, *i.e.*,

$$E_{u_{(0)\alpha}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^p \varepsilon^k \left( \sum_{\ell=0}^k \sum_{\nu=1}^q \left( \tilde{\Lambda}_{(\ell)}^\nu \tilde{\Delta}_{(k-\ell)}^\nu \right) \right) \right) = O(\varepsilon^{p+1}), \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, m;$$

- Separate the resulting conditions at each order in  $\varepsilon$ , and split into an overdetermined system for the unknown approximate multipliers;
- Insert the recovered approximate multipliers in

$$\sum_{k=0}^p \varepsilon^k \left( \sum_{\ell=0}^k \sum_{\nu=1}^q \left( \tilde{\Lambda}_{(\ell)}^\nu \tilde{\Delta}_{(k-\ell)}^\nu \right) - \sum_{i=1}^n D_i \tilde{\Phi}_{(k)}^i \right) = O(\varepsilon^{p+1})$$

and, if possible, find the approximate fluxes.

# Approximate direct method – Applications

By means of the approximate direct method, approximate conservation laws have been determined for:

- Perturbed Van der Pool equation:

$$\ddot{u} + u - \varepsilon (1 - u^2) \dot{u} = 0;$$

- Perturbed KdV–Burgers equation:

$$u_{,t} + uu_{,x} + u_{,xxx} - \varepsilon u_{,xx} = 0;$$

- A perturbed nonlinear wave equation:

$$u_{,xx} - \frac{1}{c^2} u_{,tt} - \lambda u^3 - \varepsilon f(u) = 0;$$

- Two perturbed nonlinear Schrödinger equations:

$$ip_{,t} + p_{,xx} + 2|p|^2 p - \varepsilon |p|^4 p = 0;$$

$$ip_{,t} + \frac{1}{2} p_{,xx} + |p|^2 p + i\varepsilon (\beta_1 p_{,xxx} + \beta_2 |p|^2 p_{,x} + \beta_3 p (|p|^2)_{,x}) = 0;$$

- The generalized Kaup–Newell equation:

$$u_{,t} - \frac{1}{2} u_{,xx} + uvu_{,x} + \frac{1}{2} u^2 v_{,x} + 2\varepsilon uu_{,x} = 0,$$

$$v_{,t} + \frac{1}{2} v_{,xx} + uvv_{,x} + \frac{1}{2} v^2 u_{,x} + 2\varepsilon (vu_{,x} + uv_{,x}) = 0.$$

Perturbed nonlinear second order Schrödinger equation:

$$i p_{,t} + p_{,xx} + 2|p|^2 p - \varepsilon |p|^4 p = 0,$$

with  $p \equiv p(t, x; \varepsilon)$  the complex-valued envelope of the wave. By decomposing into real and imaginary parts:

$$\Delta^1 = u_{,t} + v_{,xx} + 2v(u^2 + v^2) - \varepsilon v (u^2 + v^2)^2 = 0,$$

$$\Delta^2 = v_{,t} - u_{,xx} - 2u(u^2 + v^2) + \varepsilon u (u^2 + v^2)^2 = 0.$$

Expand  $u(t, x; \varepsilon)$  and  $v(t, x; \varepsilon)$  at first order in  $\varepsilon$  and look for the approximate multipliers of the form

$$\Lambda^\nu = \Lambda_{(0)}^\nu + \varepsilon \left( \Lambda_{(1)}^\nu + \frac{\partial \Lambda_{(0)}^\nu}{\partial u_{(0)}} u_{(1)} + \frac{\partial \Lambda_{(0)}^\nu}{\partial v_{(0)}} v_{(1)} + \frac{\partial \Lambda_{(0)}^\nu}{\partial u_{(0),x}} u_{(1),x} + \frac{\partial \Lambda_{(0)}^\nu}{\partial v_{(0),x}} v_{(1),x} + \frac{\partial \Lambda_{(0)}^\nu}{\partial u_{(0),xx}} u_{(1),xx} + \frac{\partial \Lambda_{(0)}^\nu}{\partial v_{(0),xx}} v_{(1),xx} \right),$$

where  $\Lambda_{(k)}^\nu \equiv \Lambda_{(k)}^\nu(t, x, u_{(0)}, v_{(0)}, u_{(0),x}, v_{(0),x}, u_{(0),xx}, v_{(0),xx})$  ( $k = 0, 1$  and  $\nu = 1, 2$ ).

By solving the approximate determining equations

$$E_{u_{(0)}} \left( \Lambda^1 \Delta^1 + \Lambda^2 \Delta^2 \right) = 0, \quad E_{v_{(0)}} \left( \Lambda^1 \Delta^1 + \Lambda^2 \Delta^2 \right) = 0,$$

where  $E_{w_{(0)}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{(0),\alpha}} - D_t \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{(0),t}} \right) - D_x \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{(0),x}} \right) + D_t D_t \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{(0),tt}} \right) + D_t D_x \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{(0),tx}} \right) + D_x D_x \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{(0),xx}} \right)$ ,

we obtain the sets of **approximate multipliers** with the corresponding **approximate conservation laws**.

$$\Lambda_1^1 = v_{(0),xx} + 2v_{(0)}(u_{(0)}^2 + v_{(0)}^2) + \varepsilon \left( v_{(1),xx} - v_{(0)}(u_{(0)}^2 + v_{(0)}^2)^2 + 2(u_{(0)}^2 v_{(1)} + 2u_{(0)} u_{(1)} v_{(0)} + 3v_{(0)}^2 v_{(1)}) \right),$$

$$\Lambda_1^2 = -u_{(0),xx} - 2u_{(0)}(u_{(0)}^2 + v_{(0)}^2) - \varepsilon \left( u_{(1),xx} - u_{(0)}(u_{(0)}^2 + v_{(0)}^2)^2 + 2(v_{(0)}^2 u_{(1)} + 2u_{(0)} v_{(0)} v_{(1)} + 3u_{(0)}^2 u_{(1)}) \right),$$

with

$$D_t \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( u_{(0),x}^2 + v_{(0),x}^2 - (u_{(0)}^2 + v_{(0)}^2)^2 \right) + \varepsilon \left( \left( u_{(1),x} - x u_{(0)}(u_{(0)}^2 + v_{(0)}^2)^2 \right) u_{(0),x} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \left( v_{(1),x} - x v_{(0)}(u_{(0)}^2 + v_{(0)}^2)^2 \right) v_{(0),x} - 2(u_{(0)}^2 + v_{(0)}^2)(u_{(0)} u_{(1)} + v_{(0)} v_{(1)}) \right) \right) \\ + D_x \left( -(u_{(0),t} u_{(0),x} + v_{(0),t} v_{(0),x}) - \varepsilon \left( u_{(0),x} u_{(1),t} + v_{(0),x} v_{(1),t} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \left( u_{(1),x} - x u_{(0)}(u_{(0)}^2 + v_{(0)}^2)^2 \right) u_{(0),t} + \left( v_{(1),x} - x v_{(0)}(u_{(0)}^2 + v_{(0)}^2)^2 \right) v_{(0),t} \right) \right) = 0.$$

$$\Lambda_2^1 = u_{(0),x} + \varepsilon u_{(1),x}, \quad \Lambda_2^2 = v_{(0),x} + \varepsilon v_{(1),x},$$

with

$$D_t \left( v_{(0)} u_{(0),x} + \varepsilon \left( (t u_{(0)}(u_{(0)}^2 + v_{(0)}^2)^2 + v_{(1)}) u_{(0),x} + t v_{(0)}(u_{(0)}^2 + v_{(0)}^2)^2 v_{(0),x} + v_{(0)} u_{(1),x} \right) \right) \\ + D_x \left( -\frac{1}{2} \left( u_{(0),x}^2 + v_{(0),x}^2 + (u_{(0)}^2 + v_{(0)}^2)^2 \right) - v_{(0)} u_{(0),t} \right. \\ \left. - \varepsilon \left( u_{(0),x} u_{(1),x} + v_{(0),x} v_{(1),x} + (t u_{(0)}(u_{(0)}^2 + v_{(0)}^2)^2 + v_{(1)}) u_{(0),t} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + t v_{(0)}(u_{(0)}^2 + v_{(0)}^2)^2 v_{(0),t} + v_{(0)} u_{(1),t} + 2(u_{(0)}^2 + v_{(0)}^2)(u_{(0)} u_{(1)} + v_{(0)} v_{(1)}) \right) \right) = 0.$$

$$\Lambda_3^1 = 2tu_{(0),x} + xv_{(0)} + \varepsilon (2tu_{(1),x} + xv_{(1)}), \quad \Lambda_3^2 = 2tv_{(0),x} - xu_{(0)} + \varepsilon (2tv_{(1),x} - xu_{(1)}),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} & D_t \left( 2tv_{(0)}u_{(0),x} + \frac{x}{2}(u_{(0)}^2 + v_{(0)}^2) \right. \\ & \left. + \varepsilon \left( t(tu_{(0)}(u_{(0)}^2 + v_{(0)}^2)^2 + 2v_{(1)})u_{(0),x} + t^2v_{(0)}(u_{(0)}^2 + v_{(0)}^2)^2v_{(0),x} + 2tv_{(0)}u_{(1),x} + x(u_{(0)}u_{(1)} + v_{(0)}v_{(1)}) \right) \right) \\ & + D_t \left( -t \left( u_{(0),x}^2 + v_{(0),x}^2 + 2v_{(0)}u_{(0),t} + (u_{(0)}^2 + v_{(0)}^2)^2 \right) - x(v_{(0)}u_{(0),x} - u_{(0)}v_{(0),x}) - u_{(0)}v_{(0)} \right. \\ & - \varepsilon \left( t(tu_{(0)}(u_{(0)}^2 + v_{(0)}^2)^2 + 2v_{(1)})u_{(0),t} + (2tu_{(1),x} + xv_{(1)})u_{(0),x} + (2tv_{(1),x} - xu_{(1)})v_{(0),x} \right. \\ & \left. + t^2v_{(0)}(u_{(0)}^2 + v_{(0)}^2)^2v_{(0),t} + x(v_{(0)}u_{(1),x} - u_{(0)}v_{(1),x}) \right. \\ & \left. + 2tv_{(0)}u_{(1),t} + 4t(u_{(0)}^2 + v_{(0)}^2)(u_{(0)}u_{(1)} + v_{(0)}v_{(1)}) + u_{(0)}v_{(1)} + v_{(0)}u_{(1)} \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Lambda_4^1 = v_{(0)} + \varepsilon v_{(1)}, \quad \Lambda_4^2 = -u_{(0)} - \varepsilon u_{(1)},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} & D_t \left( \frac{1}{2}(u_{(0)}^2 + v_{(0)}^2) + \varepsilon(u_{(0)}u_{(1)} + v_{(0)}v_{(1)}) \right) \\ & + D_x \left( u_{(0)}v_{(0),x} - v_{(0)}u_{(0),x} + \varepsilon(u_{(1)}v_{(0),x} - v_{(1)}u_{(0),x} + u_{(0)}v_{(1),x} - v_{(0)}u_{(1),x}) \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

THANKS